

*SOLUTION SUGGESTIONS.* (Unless stated, referenced theorems are from the lecture notes.)

1. a) We can take

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \begin{cases} ((x^2 + y^2) \sin(1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}), (x^2 + y^2) \sin(1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})), & (x, y) \neq \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}, & (x, y) = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

which is smooth outside the origin  $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)$ , hence its partial derivatives are continuous there. Further, by the boundedness of  $\sin$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0} + h, \mathbf{0} + k) = (h^2 + k^2) \sin(1/\sqrt{h^2 + k^2})(1, 1) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{0} + o(h, k),$$

therefore it is differentiable also at  $\mathbf{0}$ , with derivative zero. Thus, for example,  $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ , however

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x}(h, 0) = 2h \sin(1/h) - \cos(1/h) \not\rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0^+,$$

so that  $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x}$  is not continuous at  $\mathbf{0}$ . The same conclusion holds for  $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y}$ .

- b) By definition of differentiability (see Definition 5.2), any linear function  $L: V \rightarrow W$ ,

$$L[x + h] = L[x] + L[h] + 0 \quad \forall x, h \in V,$$

is differentiable iff  $L \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ , i.e. if it is bounded/continuous, i.e.  $\|L\|_{\text{op}} < \infty$ . Further, in finite dimensions every linear function is bounded/continuous (see Exercise 5.5), so we need to consider infinite dimensions (for  $V$ ). An example is given in Example 5.11, where  $V = \ell_c^\infty$  (compactly supported sequences),  $W = \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$L[x] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n.$$

- c) The set of all functions  $f: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \{0\}$  are in bijection to the power set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  which, by Cantor's Theorem, has strictly greater cardinality than  $\mathbb{R}$ .  
d) We can take the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}$  from Example 6.12,

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 2nx, & 0 \leq x \leq 1/(2n), \\ 2 - 2nx, & 1/(2n) < x \leq 1/n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

which tends pointwise to zero, so that any uniformly convergent subsequence must tend to zero as well. However  $\|f_n\| = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We might also consider the sequence  $g_n(x) = x^n$ , which converges pointwise to a discontinuous function.

e) Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < \sqrt{2}, \\ 1, & x > \sqrt{2}. \end{cases}$$

It does not take any intermediate values  $y \in (0, 1)$  however it is continuous at every point  $x$  of its domain, since either  $x < \sqrt{2}$  or  $x > \sqrt{2}$ .

2. a) Since

$$\sin \frac{k\pi}{2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 4m + 1, \\ -1, & \text{if } k = 4m + 3, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

(where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), for the first few  $n$  we have

$$x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, x_3 = -2, x_4 = -2, x_5 = 3, x_6 = 3, x_7 = -4, \text{ etc.,}$$

and in general for  $m \geq 1$

$$x_{4m+2} = x_{4m+1} = x_{4m} + 4m + 1,$$

$$x_{4(m+1)} = x_{4m+3} = x_{4m+2} - 4m - 3 = x_{4m} - 2 = \dots = -2(m + 1),$$

so

$$x_{4m+1} = x_{4m+2} = 2m + 1, \quad x_{4m+3} = x_{4m+4} = -2(m + 1).$$

Every subsequence either keeps its sign eventually and diverges to  $\pm\infty$  or switches sign indefinitely and then simply diverges. Thus we conclude that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = -\infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty.$$

b) Let us try to separate expressions of  $n$  and  $n + 1$ . We observe that

$$x_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - x_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = -\frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n}$$

so that the combination

$$C(n) := x_n^{\frac{1}{n}} - \frac{1}{n} = x_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - \frac{1}{n+1} = C(n+1)$$

is actually independent of  $n \Rightarrow C(n) = C(1) = 1$ . Thus,

$$x_n = \left( C(n) + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n$$

which converges to  $e$ . Hence,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = e$ .

- c) In a) no, because every subsequence is divergent.  
 In b) yes, every subsequence converges since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = e$ .

3. We first note that  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are each others' complements, and are both open and closed by any of our definitions of these concepts. Next, assume that  $A \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonempty subset which is both open and closed. Then its complement  $A^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$  is also a nonempty subset which is both open and closed. Hence, we have a disjoint union  $\mathbb{R}^n = A \sqcup A^c$  or, if we prefer, a coloring of each of the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into two colors, say red and blue. Now take one point  $x \in A$  (red) and one point  $y \in A^c$  (blue). Then consider the line segment

$$[x, y] := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists t \in [0, 1] \text{ s.t. } z = x + t(y - x)\},$$

and its middle point  $p = (x + y)/2$ . Now, either  $p \in A$  or  $p \in A^c$ , i.e. red or blue. If it is red, let us consider the segment  $[p, y]$ , and if it is blue consider  $[x, p]$ , i.e. keep the half-segment where the endpoints have different colors. Now, iterate this procedure on that half-segment, etc., producing two sequences of endpoints  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  in  $A$  and  $y_0, y_1, \dots$  in  $A^c$ , which satisfy

$$|x_k - y_k| \leq 2^{-k}|x - y|, \quad \forall k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Furthermore, they are all on the closed segment  $[x, y]$  and eventually in  $[x_N, y_N] \subseteq [x_{N-1}, y_{N-1}]$  for any  $N \geq 1$ . Similarly to the proofs of Bolzano-Weierstrass, Heine-Borel, or Lemma 4.50 (Ball enclosure), we obtain

$$x_k \text{ and } y_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \bigcap_{N=0}^{\infty} [x_N, y_N] =: q \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since  $A$  is closed,  $q \in A$ , but also, since  $A^c$  is closed,  $q \in A^c$ , that is, this intersection or boundary point  $q$  is both red and blue, which is impossible,  $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$ .

4. Let us define for convenience the partial sums  $F_N := \sum_{n=1}^N f_n$ , where

$$f_n(x) := \int_0^x g_n(t) dt, \quad g_n(x) := \frac{x^n}{n!} \cos x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^+, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The integrand  $g_n$  is smooth. Pointwise,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have by the box bound for integrals (and with the usual norm  $\|f\|_{C(X)} := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ )

$$|F_N(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N |f_n(x)|, \quad |f_n(x)| \leq |x| \|g_n\|_{C([-x, x])} \leq |x| \frac{|x|^n}{n!},$$

and thus by the majorization theorem for series (Theorem 4.66), i.e. the absolute convergence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x)| \leq |x| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x|^n}{n!} = |x|e^{|x|},$$

we obtain pointwise convergence  $F_N(x) \rightarrow F(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

On any compact subset  $K \subseteq [-R, R] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{C(K)} \leq Re^R,$$

and thus by the majorization theorem in  $C([-R, R])$  and Theorems 6.8/9,  $F_N$  is uniformly Cauchy and converges uniformly in  $C([-R, R])$  to  $F$ , and thus also in  $C(K)$ . (But not in  $C(\mathbb{R})$ .)

Further, we have by finite summation of integrals

$$F_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^x g_n(t) dt = \int_0^x \sum_{n=1}^N g_n(t) dt,$$

and in the integrand, again by the majorization theorem in  $C([-x, x])$ , the uniform convergence of Riemann-integrable (actually smooth) functions

$$C([-x, x]) \ni G_N := \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \rightarrow G, \quad N \rightarrow \infty,$$

to a Riemann-integrable (actually smooth) function

$$G(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \cos t - \cos t = (e^t - 1) \cos t,$$

so that by Theorem 6.17

$$F(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x G_N = \int_0^x G.$$

Therefore, by the fundamental theorem of integral calculus,  $F$  is continuously differentiable, on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ , with

$$F'(x) = G(x) = (e^x - 1) \cos x.$$

5. In the case that  $a = b$  we only have one point and a single function,  $M = \{0\}$ , which is trivially compact. Assume now that  $a < b$ . The metric  $d$  is our usual metric on  $C([a, b])$ , so  $M$  is a subset of the standard metric space  $(C([a, b]), d)$ . By Arzela-Ascoli,

such a subset is compact if and only if it is closed, bounded and equicontinuous. Let us check these conditions one at a time.

Since for all  $f \in M$  and  $x \in [a, b]$

$$|f(x)| = |f(x) - f(a)| \leq \sqrt{|x - a|} \leq \sqrt{|b - a|},$$

$M$  is certainly uniformly **bounded**.

Since for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta = \varepsilon^2$  such that for any  $f \in M$  and any  $x, y \in [a, b]$  s.t.  $|x - y| < \delta$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sqrt{|x - y|} < \sqrt{\delta} = \varepsilon,$$

$M$  is certainly **equicontinuous**.

Take a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $M$  which converges uniformly to  $g \in C([a, b])$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N$  s.t.  $\|g - f_N\| < \varepsilon$ , hence for any  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , by the triangle inequality

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq |g(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(y)| + |f_N(y) - g(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon + \sqrt{|x - y|}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we may conclude that  $|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \sqrt{|x - y|}$  and thus  $g \in M$ . Therefore  $M$  is also **closed**, and thus compact.

6. We note that  $L = \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach ring with the norm  $\|T\| = \|T\|_{\text{op}}$  (see sections 4.10 and 5.2 of the lecture notes). Further, we consider  $\exp: L \rightarrow L$ ,

$$\exp T := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n$$

which converges pointwise by the majorization theorem for series (see Theorem 4.76). Thus (using commutativity)

$$f(T) = \exp(T(I - T)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^n (I - T)^n, \quad T \in L.$$

Instead of this explicit expression, let us use the properties of composition,

$$f = \exp \circ g, \quad \text{where } g: L \rightarrow L, \quad g(T) = T(I - T) = T - T^2,$$

and the chain rule.

We first verify that  $f \in C^1(L, L)$  and then aim to use the Inverse Function Theorem (Theorem 7.9). Note that

$$g(T+H) = T+H - (T+H)^2 = T - T^2 + H - TH - HT - H^2 = g(T) + g'(T)[H] + O(H^2),$$

so  $g$  is differentiable at any  $T \in L$ , with derivative

$$g'(T)[H] = H - TH - HT,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|g'(T)[H]\| &\leq \|H\| + \|TH\| + \|HT\| \leq (1 + 2\|T\|)\|H\| \\ \Rightarrow \|g'(T)\|_{\text{op}} &\leq (1 + 2\|T\|) < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Further, if  $T, H, K \in L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(g'(T + K) - g'(T))[H] &= H - (T + K)H - H(T + K) - H + TH + HT = -KH - HK, \\ \Rightarrow \|g'(T + K) - g'(T)[H]\| &\leq \|KH\| + \|HK\| \leq 2\|K\|\|H\| \\ \Rightarrow \|g'(T + K) - g'(T)\|_{\text{op}} &\leq 2\|K\|,\end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero if  $K \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $g \in C^1(L, L)$ , and furthermore

$$g'(I)[H] = H - H - H = -H = -\text{id}_L[H],$$

i.e.  $g'(I) = -\text{id}_L \in \text{Hom}(L, L)$ .

Further, we have  $\exp(0 + H) = \exp H = I + H + O(H^2)$ , and we have also been given the information that  $\exp \in C^1(L, L)$ .

Now, by the chain rule,  $f \in C^1(L, L)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}f(I + H) &= \exp(g(I + H)) = \exp\left(\underbrace{g(I)}_0 + \underbrace{g'(I)[H] + O(H^2)}_K\right) = I + K + O(K^2) \\ &= I + g'(I)[H] + O(H^2) + O\left((g'(I)[H] + O(H^2))^2\right) = I - H + O(H^2).\end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $f'(I)[H] = -H$ , i.e.  $f'(I) = -\text{id}_L$ , which is invertible with continuous inverse  $f'(I)^{-1} = -\text{id}_L$ . Therefore, by the inverse function theorem,  $f$  has a continuously differentiable inverse locally around the point  $T = I$ .

In the simplified case that  $n = 1$ , we simply study the smooth function  $f(t) = e^{t-t^2}$  with  $f'(1) = -1$ , therefore, by continuity of  $f'$ ,  $f$  is strictly decreasing—and thus invertible—on some neighborhood of  $t = 1$ .

7. a) The upper and lower integrals are defined using upper and lower sums,

$$\overline{\int} f = \inf_P U(f, P), \quad \underline{\int} f = \sup_P L(f, P),$$

where the infimum and supremum are taken over all partitions  $P$  of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $P = \{x_0 = 0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N = 1\}$ ,  $x_n < x_{n+1}$ , be such a partition, then,

since each interval  $[x_n, x_{n+1}]$  contains irrational points arbitrarily close to  $x_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \sup_{[x_n, x_{n+1}]} f \right) (x_{n+1} - x_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (2 - x_n)(x_{n+1} - x_n) \\ &\geq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} ((2 - x_n) + (2 - x_{n+1}))(x_{n+1} - x_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( 2(x_{n+1} - x_n) - \frac{1}{2}(x_{n+1}^2 - x_n^2) \right) = 2(x_N - x_0) - \frac{1}{2}(x_N^2 - x_0^2) = \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using  $x_n \leq (x_n + x_{n+1})/2$ ,

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \inf_{[x_n, x_{n+1}]} f \right) (x_{n+1} - x_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n(x_{n+1} - x_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Further, taking the particular partition  $P_N$  with  $x_n = n/N$ , we see that these bounds are realized in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$U(f, P_N) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (2 - n/N)/N = 2 - \frac{N(N-1)}{2N^2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2},$$

$$L(f, P_N) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} n/N^2 = \frac{N(N-1)}{2N^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\overline{\int} f = \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\int} f = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since these numbers are different,  $f$  is not Darboux/Riemann integrable.

- b) Yes:  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a null set (see Exercise 4.31 and Lemma 5.41), and we may thus re-define  $f$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$  so that  $f(x) = 2 - x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $f$  is Darboux/Riemann integrable with  $\int_0^1 f = 3/2$ .

8. a) Take for example  $c_n := g_n(0)$ . Then, by differentiability of  $g_n$  and the mean value theorem (MVT)

$$h_n(x) = g_n(x) - g_n(0) = xg'_n(t_x), \text{ some } t_x \in (0, x),$$

so  $\|h_n\| \leq \|g'_n\| \leq K$  is uniformly bounded. Further,  $h_n(0) = 0$  and again by MVT,  $|h_n(x) - h_n(y)| = |(x - y)h'_n(t_{x,y})| \leq K|x - y|$ , so that the sequence  $(h_n)$  in  $C([0, 1])$  is bounded and equicontinuous. By Arzela-Ascoli (Theorem 6.25), the sequence contains a convergent subsequence  $(h_{n'})$  in  $C([0, 1])$ .

We could also have used Proposition 6.28 directly.

b) Using the result from a), consider the integral  $\int_0^1 h_n = \int_0^1 g_n - c_n$ . We have that  $\int_0^1 g_n$  is bounded for all  $n$ , and further, taking the subsequence for which  $(h_{n'})$  converges, then also  $\int_0^1 h_{n'}$  converges (see Theorem 6.17), so that  $c_{n'} = \int_0^1 g_{n'} - \int_0^1 h_{n'}$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. By Bolzano-Weierstrass it contains a sub-subsequence  $(c_{n''})$  which converges. Also the sub-subsequence  $(h_{n''})$  converges uniformly, and thus the sum  $g_{n''} = h_{n''} + c_{n''}$  converges uniformly as well.